**Area of work 2: Towards a common implementation landing zone (objectives 2-4)**

Action 2.2 Roadmap for priority-driven implementation

| **Title** | **Roadmap for priority-driven implementation** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | 2020.x | | | | |
| **Status** | Proposed | Endorsed | | In Progress | Completed |
| **Issue** | Certain aspects of the implementation of the INSPIRE Directive (e.g. harmonization of all data in its scope) are still considered as cumbersome or even disproportionate. The broad spatial data scope of the Directive and the high level definition of the data scope through its 34 themes has resulted in a diversified and non-comparable offering across Member States.  The envisaged common landing zone should address these issues whilst being realised in compliance with the existing legal provisions documented in the INSPIRE Directive and its implementing regulations. Under Action 2.1 a commonly agreed prioritisation of the data scope will be pursued. This action will build on this clear data prioritisation and will aim at defining priority-driven technical requirements for the documentation, availability, accessibility and interoperability of data and spatial data services using the flexibility foreseen in the legal framework.  To facilitate a feasible implementation that responds to tangible needs, the identified flexibilities in the legal framework would be used to diversify and where possible relax the technical implementation guidance for what is needed for each data set (e.g. every data set needs to have published metadata, a clearly defined limited number of data sets need to be harmonized ... ). | | | | |
| **Proposed action** | The action will work on the following strands of activities:   * Identifying the flexibilities of the legal framework, building on previous simplification efforts. * Develop implementation maturity levels based on the level of interoperability, data priorities and identified legal flexibility. * Validate and pilot the proposed maturity levels on selected data sets. * Implementation support | | | | |
| **Links & dependencies** | * Outcome of Action 2.1 Need-driven data prioritisation * Interplay with Action 2.3 Simplification of INSPIRE implementation * Feed into Action 3.1 GreenData4All | | | | |
| **Organisational set-up** | The action is led by ENV, with contribution by the MIG, MIG-T, European Commission DGs and the EEA. A temporary dedicated sub-group will be established. | | | | |
| **Lead** | Lead: ENV  Contributors: MIG, MIG-T, JRC, EEA, ESTAT, other EC Services | | | | |
| **Scope** | Rendering a common and comparable implementation of the INSPIRE Directive across Europe more feasible based on common data priorities and fit-for-purpose interoperability levels. | | | | |
| **Tasks** | Task 1: Identifying the flexibilities of the legal framework, building on previous simplification efforts.  Task 2: Develop implementation maturity levels based on the level of interoperability, data priorities and identified legal flexibility (incl. legal validation).  Task 3: Impact assessment of the proposal on existing and future implementation.  Task 4: Pilot the proposed maturity levels on selected data sets.  Task 5: Draft guidance (legal, political, technical) on application of maturity levels. | | | | |
| **Outcomes** | * A case-driven, consistent and comparable INSPIRE implementation across Europe. * Compliant implementation maturity levels for a more feasible and cost-effective implementation. * Implementation guidance. | | | | |
| **Proposed Impact** | Technical Adjustment / Bug Fixing  Technical Improvement / Development  Practical Support for Implementing Process  Cost Reducing Effect for Implementing Process  Direct Support on Policy-Making / - Activities | | | | |
| **Timeline** | Date of Kick-off: Q4 2020 | | | | |
| Proposed Date of Completion: Q4 2021 | | | | |
| **Required human resources and expertise** | * MIG and MIG-T contribution; * Establishment of a temporary dedicated expert group; * Legal support. | | | | |
| **Required financial resources and possible funding** | * Funding foreseen by DG ENV through the forthcoming Administrative Arrangement with the JRC. * In kind contribution of the MIG and MIG-T. | | | | |
| **Risk factors** | Overall risk level of the action  High  Medium  Low | | Risk factors to be considered  Missing Resources  High Complexity  Interdependencies with other Actions  Others:  Legal consistency | | |